Organizations complain about meeting space

**By Beth O'Gara**

Robert Dieckhoff is tired of playing musical vegetables. He is not a musician, he is the president of the Student Organization for Vegetarian Unity (SOU).

Dieckhoff and his organization, Campus Crusade for Christ, have been located in the Student Union for over three years. "Last year, we were told we needed to leave the Student Union," Dieckhoff said. "We were told we couldn't have enough meetings in there."

Dieckhoff said that the administration at the university was not the only group to be affected by this decision. "The president of the Student Government Association (SGA) was also told that he couldn't have enough meetings there," Dieckhoff said. "He was told that he needed to find a new location for his meetings." The SGA was told that it could not meet in the Student Union because the university had other needs for the space.

The administration at the university has been trying to find a new location for the meetings of the various organizations on campus. "We have been trying to find a new location for the meetings of the various organizations on campus," Dieckhoff said. "We have been trying to find a new location for the meetings of the various organizations on campus."
2:10 p.m. Discussion in Open Circle with Ken Pechter
2:00 p.m. Discussion in Open Circle with Mary Lou Harlow
2:00 p.m. Discussion in Open Circle with Sandra Weideman

High school students at UI for "Vandal Road Trip"

High school students from throughout the state will be coming to the University of Idaho for a "Vandal Road Trip" this Thursday and Friday. The students are expected to attend UI's largest fall home game this weekend. UT students will be welcome to attend the fall home game this weekend.

University Inn encounter with protests not fit

A faculty member researching an encased of the University Inn finds a new protest to the University Inn.
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House votes against bill to federalize air security

BY JACQUELINE KENNEDY
WASHINGTON — The U.S. Senate defeated on Tuesday a proposal to federalize airport security. The vote was 76-23, with Democrats voting against the measure.

The Senate defeated a plan that would have given the federal government control over airport security. The measure was introduced by Sen. Joe Lieberman, D-Conn., and was supported by a majority of Senate Republicans.

The bill would have allowed the federal government to control airport security decisions, including the number of security officers and the types of security measures used.

The Senate vote was seen as a major victory for the Senate Republicans, who have been pushing for federal control over airport security for years.

In a statement, Lieberman said: “This is a significant step forward in ensuring the safety of Americans who travel every day. I applaud the Senate for taking this important step in protecting our nation’s air travel.”

The measure was opposed by the Senate Democratic leader, Minority Leader Harry Reid, D-Nev., who said the bill would give too much power to the federal government and would undermine the ability of states to control their own airports.

The vote was held as a result of a deal reached between Senate Democrats and Republicans. Under the agreement, the federal government would have oversight over airport security, but states would have some control over local airport security decisions.

The vote was seen as a key test for the administration of President Barack Obama, who has been pushing for more federal control over airport security.

Obama has cited the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks as justification for greater federal oversight of airport security. He has said that the federal government needs to have more control over airport security to ensure that it is being properly managed.

The vote comes as the federal government is facing pressure to do more to ensure the safety of passengers on domestic flights.
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States undecided about proposed Microsoft settlement

WASHINGTON — Lawyers for the 18 states suing Microsoft said a federal judge Friday to review new data and to set a different trial date to determine whether the companies are closer to a settlement than the Justice Department believes.

A trial date was set to begin March 15, however if the Justice Department and Microsoft agree to a settlement, the trial could be canceled.

Differences continued an trend of recent discussions over the question of whether the 18 states will push for the agreement or will settle their case without a trial, or a settlement.

Washington state Attorney General Dan Evans said if nothing is settled, he will go to trial.

"Rajat Gupta, a former professor at the University of Chicago and author of the book "The Wall," was named Friday by the Justice Department as the defendant in a civil lawsuit.

Gupta is accused of insider trading by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The lawsuit was filed Thursday in U.S. District Court in New York.

Gupta, who was a former chairman of the board of directors of the New York Stock Exchange, is accused of using confidential information to profit on stocks.

The complaint alleges that Gupta purchased and sold shares of companies before the information was publicly released.

The Justice Department said Gupta is the first person to be charged under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which was passed in response to the Enron and WorldCom accounting scandals.

Gupta's lawyer, Richard L. Fuld Jr., said his client has been vindicated by the government's decision to file the lawsuit.

"We are pleased that the government has brought charges against Gupta, which it has been able to do now that it has evidence of his crime," Fuld said in a statement.

The government's complaint alleges that Gupta passed confidential information to a hedge fund he managed in New York.

The fund, which is now defunct, made millions of dollars in profits on the trades.

Gupta, who was a professor of finance at the University of Chicago, is accused of using confidential information from public companies to make illegal profits.

The government believes Gupta used the information to buy and sell stocks before the information was made public.

The complaint further alleges that Gupta passed the information to a former colleague, who then passed the information to a third person.

The third person, who had access to the information, passed it to Gupta.

The government believes Gupta sold the information to a hedge fund he managed.

The government's complaint also alleges that Gupta told a former colleague at the University of Chicago that he had put the information to use.
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States beef up nuclear power plant security

BY KEVIN MURPHY, HARRIET PALMACEK and LINDY SADICK

WASHINGTON — As eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years, the Bush administration, anxious to promote nuclear power, is now beefing up security at existing nuclear plants.

WASHINGTON — The eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years have been shuttered as part of a policy by the government to phase out older plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — The Bush administration, anxious to promote nuclear power, is now beefing up security at existing nuclear plants.

WASHINGTON — As eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years, the government has been beefing up security at existing nuclear plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — The Bush administration, anxious to promote nuclear power, is now beefing up security at existing nuclear plants.

WASHINGTON — The eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years have been shuttered as part of a policy by the government to phase out older plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — As eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years, the Bush administration, anxious to promote nuclear power, is now beefing up security at existing nuclear plants.

WASHINGTON — The eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years have been shuttered as part of a policy by the government to phase out older plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — As eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years, the government has been beefing up security at existing nuclear plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — The Bush administration, anxious to promote nuclear power, is now beefing up security at existing nuclear plants.

WASHINGTON — As eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years, the government has been beefing up security at existing nuclear plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — The Bush administration, anxious to promote nuclear power, is now beefing up security at existing nuclear plants.

WASHINGTON — As eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years, the government has been beefing up security at existing nuclear plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — The eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years have been shuttered as part of a policy by the government to phase out older plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — As eight nuclear plants closed over the last five years, the government has been beefing up security at existing nuclear plants, which are considered vulnerable to terrorism attacks.

WASHINGTON — The Bush administration, anxious to promote nuclear power, is now beefing up security at existing nuclear plants.
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Our attacks are just

last week I wrote about the "imminent threat" on Sept. 10 and how our military was gearing up for a war against... and how is this world to... of the world's most radical... of the world's most radical... and how is this world to...
Got the blues

Saffire brings unique blues to Moscow

Saffire — The Upcountry Blues Women based in Moscow or Saturday at the Moscow Performing Arts Center will host two performances by Saffire. The first show, at 8 p.m., will feature a private party with White Horse Winery, and the second show, at 9 p.m., will feature their “Best of the Best” show. Tickets are $20. Call 208-935-1112 or visit www.asu.edu/mosaic for more information.

For the second show, the band will also be joined by special guests, including Singing Church, 655 W. Market, who will perform a second show at 8 p.m. in the Moscow Performing Arts Center. For more information, call 208-935-1112 or visit www.asu.edu/mosaic.

On Wednesday, the Moscow Performing Arts Center will host a special engagement with Saffire, who will perform a private party at 8 p.m. in the Moscow Performing Arts Center. For more information, call 208-935-1112 or visit www.asu.edu/mosaic.

Coffeehouse feels good vibrations

The Bay Leaves' new CD, "The Groove," is available at the Bay Leaves' website, www.bayleaves.ws. The band will perform at the Bay Leaves' website on Saturday, 8 p.m., and at the Bay Leaves' website on Saturday, 8 p.m., and at the Bay Leaves' website on Saturday, 8 p.m.

Lord of the Dance meets Village People

Barnes & Noble Booksellers

"Carnival Men as Wee La" Day, the national dance sensation, will perform at the Ballroom at the Bay Leaves on Saturday, 8 p.m. The show consistently performs to sold-out houses and has been described as "the ultimate dance experience." The dance combines elements of rock, funk, and soul to create a unique and unforgettable experience. The show is suitable for all ages and is perfect for a night out with friends or family.

The Bay Leaves' website is located at www.bayleaves.ws. For more information, call 208-935-1112 or visit www.asu.edu/mosaic.
Restaurants go the distance to whet the appetite

The lights go out at Shoup Hall

by A. Brian Amsden

Roxy's Dark Ways. A man dressed in a long black gown showed us around, trying to prepare us for the little horror trip we were about to take through the house. A brothel, it was. A brothel by day, a restaurant by night. (Yesterday night in Detroit, prepared by director Robert B. Shoup Jr., director of the Detroit Institute of Arts.)

Eleven Castle was gray and black, dimly lit with red light. The air was heavy, sultry, and wet. The atmosphere was that of a dark, smoky bar with some old, slightly cloudy glasses that added to the mood.

The ghost of the ghost, Bill Peterson was almost impossible to see. He was there, but his form was not clearly defined. The atmosphere was thickened, and it was as if the lights were dimming.

The man who said he was the ghost, said that the house was not only haunted by ghosts, but also by the memories of those who had lived there in the past. He said that the house was a place where people could come to pay homage to their loved ones and to remember the good times they had together.

Although we didn't feel like applauding a murder, we did, how to somehow exceed a good piece of the story.
Vandals break losing streak

BY MIKEY JONES

In only the second meeting between the two schools, UI defeated the Cougars on Saturday afternoon. The win took UI to a 3-3 overall record.

Coming off four straight losses, UI was looking to ensure themselves on the court and in the standings with a win. But the Cougars looked like the underdog in the match, as they are a win away from being ranked for the first time.

UI got started right, taking an early 6-1 advantage and variation in the defense. The defense, regardless of who is on the court, was an uphill battle for the Cougars. The Cougars couldn’t keep up with the dominant defense.

A pair of UI errors left Riverside with a 6-1 advantage. Errors were the only downfall for the Vandals, as UI had no other issues throughout the match.

UI’s lead extended further at the end of the first set, leading by only a 24-17 score. UI continued their dominance in the second set, leading the way to a 50-29 victory in the match.

UI’s defense seemed in stride when in the game three the UI team finally got warmed up and was able to gain the Cougars some competition.

UI took an early 6-0 lead, a string which came to 3-0 before the Cougars got back on the board. UI’s lead continued to grow, as UI only allowed 24 points in the game, leaving the score as 30-22. UI hosted the Cougars with a lead that was far from over. 6-0

UI continued its momentum in the third set, leading by as much as 17-6. The lead continued to grow in the end, as UI knocked off the Cougars for the final 25-12 win.

UI held their lead throughout the match, as UI’s defense held strong in the final set. The Vandals won the final set 25-17, gaining a 3-1 win over the Cougars.

UI’s lead continued to grow, as UI only allowed 24 points in the game, leaving the score as 30-22. UI hosted the Cougars with a lead that was far from over. 6-0
Seniors exit UI soccer with a bang

Some recent events have created feelings of consternation and even that make for fair stand on anything.

Last weekend's events saw such a game for the University of Idaho's women's soccer team defeated Pacific in the final of the Huskies' first season in the Sun Belt Conference.

When Cunningham rezined vs the last time, the first half, the game was still going to the score of a 0-0. However, in the second half, the game became more open and the teams began to push the ball down the field.

"It was a hard-fought battle," said UI head coach, "but we were able to push the ball down the field and score a goal.""The team played well, and we were able to get the win," said Cunningham.

In the second half, UI continued to push the ball down the field and eventually scored a goal. The team was able to hold on to the lead and secure the win.

The women's soccer team will now move on to the next round of the tournament, and Cunningham is looking forward to the challenge.

"We're excited to see what happens next," said Cunningham. "We're looking forward to the next round and to see what happens next."
Vandals break to WSU in three

T he UW football team lost a non-conference match to Idaho State today at the Idaho State Fairgrounds. The final score was 20-10.

The Idaho State Fairgrounds is an outdoor venue with limited seating, which led to fan complaints about the game's atmosphere. The fans were also critical of the lack of access to food and drink concessions. Many fans commented that the game felt more like a practice session than a competitive match.
Seniors exit UI soccer with a bang

By Brent Jones

Ole Miss senior forward Lisa Golden will be highly missed. Golden played a crucial role in the seniors’ 1-0 victory over the South Carolina Gamecocks Saturday.

Golden scored the lone goal of the game in the second half to give the Rebels a 1-0 lead. The senior forward’s final collegiate goal was a perfect example of perseverance and determination.

When Mississippi State’s midfielder locked up Golden on the dribble in the first half, the senior midfielder did not panic. Instead, Golden used her speed and agility to get away from the defender and create a scoring opportunity.

When Golden was assisted on the goal by senior back Melissa Parke, she was able to find the back of the net. The senior midfielder used her precision and accuracy to find the back of the net and put the Rebels up 1-0.

Golden’s goal was the result of several minutes of hard work and determination. She used her speed and agility to get away from the defender and create a scoring opportunity.

Golden’s seniors night was bittersweet, as she was able to celebrate her final collegiate goal, but also knew that she would not be able to play in the SEC Tournament.

The game was played in front of a packed house, with over 10,000 fans in attendance. The Rebels and Gamecocks battled for the entire 90 minutes, with Golden ultimately emerging victorious.

Women golfers play through rain

By Brian Armstrong

She’s only won once in her career, but Lisa Golden is not afraid to play through the rain.

Golden played in the rain on Saturday, when the Rebels took on the South Carolina Gamecocks in a crucial game for the seniors’ bid to the SEC Tournament.

Golden played well despite the rain, and despite the fact that she was playing in her final collegiate game.

Golden is known for her work ethic and her determination, and she was not going to let the rain stop her from playing her finest game.

Golden’s performance was a testament to her work ethic and her determination, and she was a shining example for the younger players on the team.
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